



**Effect of Various Concentrations of Protein, Fat and Carbohydrate in Diet on Growth and Body Composition of Most Economically Important Fish in Pakistan, *Labeo rohita***

K. UMER, M. ALI, R. IQBAL, N. T. NAREJO\*\*\* A. R. ABBASI\*

Institute of Pure and Applied Biology, Zoology Division. Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan.

Received 9<sup>th</sup> February 2013 and Revised 16<sup>th</sup> May 2013

**Abstract:** 200 *L. rohita* fingerlings were distributed into ten treatments, each supplemented with experimental diet containing  $17.1 \pm 0.04$  kJ DE g<sup>-1</sup> dietary energy. In experiment I: 35% (35P), 40% (40P) and 45% protein (45P), experiment II: 7% (7F), 12% (12F), 17% fat (17F) and in experiment III: 3% (3C), 5% (5C) and 7% carbohydrate (7C) containing diets were supplemented for 60 days. Growth performance and various parameters of body composition (ash, fat, carbohydrates) were determined in wet and dry fish weight in order to demonstrate the effect of various diet composition on nutritional value of most economically important carp, *Labeo rohita*. Study revealed that diets with increasing carbohydrate levels shows better growth among the various dietary treatments of *Labeo rohita* can.

**Keywords:** Diet composition, Body composition, *Labeo rohita*, Specific growth rate.

**1. INTRODUCTION**

Low input techniques are mostly being practiced in subcontinent in case of carps farming because carps have not a high market price. Carp culture could be more beneficial if the fish feed is manufactured from locally available sources, especially carbohydrate as it will significantly reduce the feed cost (Keshavanath *et al.*, 2002; Umer *et al.*, 2011). Protein, fat and carbohydrate are the basic requirement of fish like other vertebrates but the percentage of their requirement is different. Protein is the primary source of energy for fish, then lipid and carbohydrate at the end. Protein in fish diet is the main determinant of fish growth while lipid and carbohydrate are added to diet to maintain the non protein dependant metabolic processes (Tacon & Cowey, 1985). This protein sparing mechanism is well known and documented in several fish species including carps (Erfanullah & Jafri, 1995).

When ever studies are conducted for diet formulation in animals, proteins are considered as primary component as it is ultimate requirement for growth and also it has highest share in feed cost. A minimal percentage of protein is always required in diet for optimal growth (Guillaume, 1997; Ali *et al.*, 2005). Fish protein requirements varies with fish size, species, dietary non protein energy level, dietary protein quality and environmental conditions (NRC, 1993). Generally in feed formulation studies, the crude dietary protein required by fish is obtained by few traditional sources (Britz, 1996) and rarely compound diets are used (Coote *et al.*, 2000). Dietary protein levels are important as improper protein levels will lead to wastage of energy or their disturbed ratio will raise the fish production cost

and will also deteriorate the quality of fresh water by over production of ammonia (Hong, 1999).

Fish prefer to utilize protein as primary energy source rather than using lipid or carbohydrate but lipid is an important part of fish diets, especially for carnivorous fish species (Ali *et al.*, 2006). Lipids are important component in fish diet as they are rich source of essential fatty acids (EFA) and hence energy and also required to maintain the cell and organelle membranes (Sargent *et al.*, 1999). Diet utilization by fish can be improved increasing lipid proportion to certain extent under specific conditions (Peres & Oliva-Teles, 1999). Lipid in diet should have the greatest protein sparing effect as they replace those proteins which would have otherwise been catabolized (Ellis & Reigh, 1991). Information on Indian major carps is limited regarding the protein sparing effect of dietary lipid although this topic has been extensively investigated for several fish species (Pérez *et al.*, 1997; Satpathy *et al.*, 2003) and even it is observed in certain species that dietary lipid has no protein sparing effect (Vergara *et al.*, 1996; Regost *et al.*, 2001).

Fish feed rich in carbohydrate is cheapest among all the commercially available diets, it has high protein sparing efficiency and presence of carbohydrates in feed also improves the nutritive value and pallet quality (Zhu *et al.*, 1990). Carp are capable of utilizing carbohydrate rich diets, can store the reserve energy as glycogen in their liver and muscle (Hidalgo *et al.*, 1993) By optimizing the use of low cost energy carriers (e.g. carbohydrate-rich ingredients) and decreasing the ratio of costly proteins in diet to minimum, feed cost per fish

\*\*Correspondence ,author: [dr\\_ntnarejo46@yahoo.com](mailto:dr_ntnarejo46@yahoo.com)

\*Department of Fresh Water Biology and Fisheries, University of Sindh, Jamshoro.

produced can be significantly minimized. This would be more beneficial as by replacing the energy provided by dietary protein with that available from lipid or carbohydrate may result in a higher production per unit spent and the excreted nitrogen levels can be reduced per unit of fish produced. The aim of three experiments conducted during this project was to determine the suitable and cost effective combination of protein, fat and carbohydrate in diet of *L. rohita* to get the maximum output in *Labeo rohita* culturing in Pakistan.

## 2. MATERIALS AND METHODS **Experimental diet**

Ten experimental diets having dietary energy ( $17.1 \pm 0.04 \text{ kJ DE g}^{-1}$ ) were formulated at Shabir Fish Feeds Multan, Punjab, Pakistan. These formulated diets varied regarding ratio of crude protein, fat and carbohydrate in their composition (Table 1). Experimental diets were analyzed using standard AOAC (1995). All the experimental protocols and fish handling procedure were approved by the research and ethic committee of Institute of Pure and Applied Biology, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan.

### **Experimental design and feeding trial**

Experiments were conducted at Institute of Pure and Applied Biology, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan. A total of 200 *L. rohita* fingerlings were collected from Al-Madina Fish Hatchery Matital Road Multan, Punjab, Pakistan. All the fishes were transferred to experimental lab in oxygen-filled polythene bags where they were acclimatized to experimental condition for 2 weeks.

At the start of experiments, average initial weight and length of fishes were measured. All fishes were transferred to twenty fiberglass tanks. Each tank was divided into 10 compartments (1x1x1 ft) with the help of fiberglass partitions each containing a fish. Fish were fed twice a day, with equal portion, (9:00am and 9:00pm) by hand. The feeding rate was 4% of body weight of fish with was recalculated after interval of fifteen days (Khan *et al.*, 2004). Waste, diet and faeces were siphoned daily from each tank. During the experimental period, the average water temperature, dissolved oxygen and pH were  $22 \pm 2^\circ\text{C}$ ,  $7.31 \pm 0.46 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , and  $7.02 \pm 0.47$  respectively.

### **Growth performance**

At the end of experiment, fish were weighed and length was taken. Specific Growth Rate (SGR), Weight Gain (WG) and Protein Efficiency (PE) were calculated by using formulae in footnotes in table.2 following Du *et al.* (2005).

### **Sample collection and analysis**

At the end of the experiment, fish were chill-killed by immersing in ice water. All the chemical

analyses were carried out in triplicate. Moisture and dry weight (oven dry at  $60^\circ\text{C}$  to constant weight), ash (incinerate at  $550^\circ\text{C}$  for 5 hrs in a muffle furnace), fat (chloroform-methanol method: Cui and Wootton, 1988; Salam and Davies, 1994) and protein (subtracting fat content from organic content: Salam and Davies (1994) of all feeding groups based on whole body weight were carried out following AOAC (1995).

### **Statistical Analysis**

Data was expressed as mean along with standard deviation and was subject to one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) by statistical software Minitab (Version 11, USA) to calculate the differences in various studied parameters among all feeding groups.

## 3. RESULTS

### **Effect of dietary protein variations on growth performance and body composition of *L. rohita***

There were significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) differences amongst four feeding groups with respect to SGR & WG and was non significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) difference for PE. SGR and WG were higher in 45P and lower in 40P. PE was slightly high in 45P. While in case of body composition, there were highly significant ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) differences for percent water, dry mass, organic content, fat content and protein content and significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) difference for percent ash. Water and dry weight were high in 45P and control (C) while they were low in C and 45P respectively. C also showed maximum value for percent ash, organic and fat content but lowest value for percent protein. Percent protein was high in 40P (Table 1, 2, 3).

### **Effect of dietary fat variations on growth performance and body composition of *L. rohita***

WG and PE were higher in 12F. No significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) differences were observed in SGR among various feeding treatments. C had lowest mean values for WG and PE. Highly significant ( $P < 0.001$ ) differences were observed for percent water, dry weight, ash, organic content and fat content but differences among treatments were significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) for percent protein. Percent water and protein were high in 12F and 17F respectively (Table 2, 3).

### **Effect of dietary carbohydrate variations on growth performance and body composition of *L. rohita***

There were highly ( $P < 0.001$ ) significant differences among the feeding groups in WG and PE while significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) difference for SGR was observed. 9C showed maximum and C showed minimum mean values for all growth parameters. Highly significant ( $P \leq 0.001$ ) differences were found in percent water, dry weight, ash, organic content and fat. No significant ( $P > 0.05$ ) difference was observed for percent protein.

Overall better growth was observed by increasing carbohydrates with constant protein and fat content (38% protein and fat 8.5%).

#### 4.

#### **DISCUSSION**

Several investigators have reported that optimum growth of *Labeo rohita* takes place when it is supplemented with 40–50% protein diets (Sen *et al.*, 1978; Renukaradhya & Varghese, 1986; Erfanullah & Jafri, 1995). Our experimental results revealed that varying protein levels with constant lipid levels affect fish growth in experiment I. 45P showed maximum growth although that was not significantly better than C group. Similar results were obtained by Satpathy *et al.* (2003) while working on *L. rohita*. They observed 45 % protein with 15 % lipid in diets of *L. rohita* was optimal for the growth but Kim *et al.* (2001), following their experiments on juvenile Korean rockfish, *Sebastes schlegeli* (Hilgendorf), observed non significant differences in fish growth following 35 %, 40 % and 45 % dietary protein supplementation.

Proximate-body composition data analysis indicated that the protein content in fish body increased while lipid contents decreased following an increase in dietary protein levels. These results are in agreement with Murai *et al.* (1985) who had similar observations during their experiments with channel catfish. Results indicated that water contents of *L. rohita* increased following a rise in dietary protein levels while an inverse relationship of water content was observed with body lipid content. Inorganic contents of *L. rohita* remained unaffected by changing the dietary protein levels. Similar trend was also reported in tilapia by Jauncey (1982) and in cat fish by Khan *et al.* (1993).

Dietary protein levels and PE were directly related in the present study; i.e. maximum efficiency occurred at the highest dietary protein level. This correlation has also been previously reported in other fish species like grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.), tilapia (*Sarotherodon mossambicus* Peters) and catfish (*Mystus nemurus* C. and V) (Dabrowski, 1977; Jauncey, 1982; Khan *et al.*, 1996).

In experiment 2 where 7-17% fat was used in fish diet, non significant differences in growth and body composition were observed. Bright *et al.* (2005) had reported similar results while feeding 7-16 % lipid to

large mouth Bass (*Micropterus sulmoide*). While contradictory results were observed by Du *et al.* (2005) with working with juvenile grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*).

Our results indicated a linear increase in growth rate, WG and PE with increasing carbohydrate levels in diet. Erfanullah and Jafri (1995) had reported more pronounced that sub optimal levels of carbohydrate are more effective than optimal levels for protein sparing in fingerling *Labeo rohita*. Our results are also in agreement with Erfanullah and Jafri (1995) as diets having 37 % protein contents and those with increasing levels of carbohydrates observed similar growth. Ufodike and Matty (1983) had demonstrated that carp showed better growth when cassava or rice was included at the rate of 450 g kg<sup>-1</sup> diet to a 300 g protein kg<sup>-1</sup> diet. Higher levels of carbohydrate not only spare proteins but they also changes the fish body composition, especially they affects the lipid levels. We observed a significant increase in lipid deposition in the carcass with increasing carbohydrate level in the diet during present study. Interestingly, the protein contents of fish carcasses from different treatments were almost similar as already observed by Keshavanath *et al.* (2002) while working on common carp. PER also reflected better utilization of diets with lower protein content and this observation is in agreement with Gangadhara *et al.* (1997) who had also reported an improvement in PER following decreasing dietary protein in *L. rohita*.

Based on the present results it may be concluded that increase in protein level (up to 45%) has no growth increasing effect. Similar fashion was also observed in case of increasing lipid level (up to 17%) but increasing carbohydrates showed better growth for *L. rohita*. Therefore, use of carbohydrate rich diets in the carp culture can improve profitability.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

The authors are grateful to Higher Education Commission (HEC) Pakistan for providing funds and also thankful to Dr. Faisal Shahid (Shabbir feeds Multan, Pakistan) and Haji Faheem (Al-Madina Fish Hatchery Multan, Pakistan) for providing fish feed ingredients, formulating fish diets and providing fish. The authors are also thankful to Dr. Kim Jouncey (Institute of Aquaculture, university of Stirling, Scotland) for statistical analysis of data.

**Table. 1** Feed formulation and proximate composition of diets (1 to 10) used in present study

Ingredients (gm/kg)	Diets										
	International	Experiment I			Experiment II			Experiment III			
	Feed Number	Control	35P	40P	45P	7F	12F	17F	3C	6C	9C
Fish meal	5-09-835	230	-	-	-	100	100	100	100	100	100
Canola meal	5-06-145	50	50	90	90	84	90	90	90	90	90
Corn gluten (60%)	5-28-242	254	320	460	570	105	358	378	152	160	144
Rice bran	4-03-928	126	80	130	80	80	334	280	180	142	136
Rice polish	4-03-943	210	320	180	110	61	30	20	80	80	80
Soybean meal	5-04-604	50	146	50	50	570	70	50	409	409	400
Animal Fat <sup>1</sup> (milk fat)		10	14	20	30	30	54	114	29	29	30
Starch	5-01-162a	40	40	40	40	40	40	40	30	60	90
Canola oil <sup>2</sup>		10	10	10	10	10	4	8	10	10	10
Mineral <sup>3</sup> & Vitamin <sup>4</sup> Premixes		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
Di-calcium Phosphate		10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10	10
<b>Total</b>		1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000	1000
<b>Proximate analysis (percent) (Dry weight)</b>											
DE (kJ g <sup>-1</sup> )		17.1	17.3	16.8	16.9	16.8	17.5	17.9	16.9	17.0	16.9
Crude protein		38.3	34.8	40.2	44.5	38.1	37.2	37.4	38.1	38.5	38.2
Crude fat		9.1	8.5	8.2	7.9	7.4	12.4	17.5	8.3	8.2	8.2
Ash		9.66	6.69	5.65	4.48	7.94	7.47	6.61	7.79	8.3	8.5
Crude Fiber		4.08	4.89	4.62	3.82	6.82	5.72	4.88	3.23	6.3	9.1
Cost kg <sup>-1</sup> (US\$)		0.126	0.123	0.126	0.139	0.121	0.126	0.131	0.114	0.119	0.127

DE: Dietary energy

35P: 35%Protein; 40P: 40%Protein; 45P: 45%Protein; 7F: 7%Fat; 12F: 12%Fat; 17F: 17%Fat; 3C: 3%Carbohydrate; 6C: 6%Carbohydrate; 9C: 9%Carbohydrate.

Proximate composition, digestible energy and metabolizing energy are taken from "Nutritional Requirements of fish" National Academy of Sciences 1993.

1- BLUE BAND (Unilever Pakistan Ltd) containing skimmed milk, milk fat, salt stabilizer, preservatives, Vit. A, B, D and calcium.

2- SEASON CANOLA OIL (Wali Oil Mills Lahore, Pakistan) contains Fat profile 6%, Saturated fat 62%, Poly saturated fat (linolic acid) 11%.

3- SB MINERAL MIX(SB Pharma, Rawalpindi, Pakistan) containing (kg<sup>-1</sup>); Copper 5x10<sup>3</sup>mg; Ferrous 5x10<sup>4</sup>mg; Manganese 6.2x10<sup>4</sup>mg; Zinc 3x10<sup>4</sup>mg; Iodine 5x10<sup>2</sup>mg & Selenium 1x10<sup>2</sup>mg.

4- SB VITA-L (SB Pharma) containing (kg<sup>-1</sup>); A 5x10<sup>6</sup>IU; D<sub>3</sub> 5x10<sup>6</sup>IU; E 7.5x10<sup>3</sup>mg; K<sub>3</sub> 5x10<sup>2</sup>mg; B<sub>1</sub> 1x10<sup>3</sup>mg; B<sub>2</sub> 2.5x10<sup>3</sup>mg; B<sub>6</sub> 1.5x10<sup>3</sup>mg; B<sub>12</sub> 10mg; Niacin 1.5x10<sup>4</sup>mg; Biotin 2.5x10<sup>3</sup>mg; Pantothenic acid 4x10<sup>3</sup>mg; Folic acid 5x10<sup>2</sup>mg; Anti Oxidant 5 x10<sup>3</sup>mg & Carrier(upto) 1 x10<sup>3</sup>gm.

**Table. 2 Mean values and standard deviation (Parenthesis) of SGR, WG & PE of *Labeo rohita* for ten different feeding groups**

Growth Parameter	Feeding Groups									
	Control	Experiment I			Experiment II			Experiment III		
		35P	40P	45P	7F	12F	17F	3C	6C	9C
<b>SGR<sup>1</sup></b> (%day <sup>-1</sup> )	2.559 <sup>b</sup> (0.169)	2.566 <sup>b</sup> (0.171)	2.210 <sup>a</sup> (0.432)	2.591 <sup>b</sup> (0.280)	2.797 <sup>b</sup> (0.147)	2.882 <sup>bc</sup> (0.423)	2.670 <sup>b</sup> (0.266)	2.621 <sup>b</sup> (0.386)	2.736 <sup>b</sup> (0.397)	3.160 <sup>c</sup> (0.096)
<b>WG<sup>2</sup></b> (%)	366.52 <sup>ab</sup> (42.22)	368.57 <sup>ab</sup> (46.28)	287.20 <sup>a</sup> (84.17)	379.89 <sup>b</sup> (80.69)	437.76 <sup>bc</sup> (47.23)	480.70 <sup>cd</sup> (146.52)	402.04 <sup>bc</sup> (75.39)	392.53 <sup>bc</sup> (94.48)	427.87 <sup>b</sup> (95.84)	566.88 <sup>d</sup> (38.46)
<b>PE<sup>3</sup></b> (g)	0.090 <sup>a</sup> (0.016)	0.094 <sup>ab</sup> (0.019)	0.088 <sup>a</sup> (0.013)	0.094 <sup>ab</sup> (0.008)	0.144 <sup>d</sup> (0.029)	0.141 <sup>d</sup> (0.008)	0.109 <sup>bc</sup> (0.006)	0.109 <sup>bc</sup> (0.018)	0.120 <sup>c</sup> (0.015)	0.148 <sup>d</sup> (0.023)

All the values are means  $\pm$  SD, All the vales are verified by homogeneity of variance, Mean sharing the same superscripts do not different significantly (P>0.05)

35P: 35%Protein; 40P: 40%Protein; 45P: 45%Protein; 7F: 7%Fat; 12F: 12%Fat; 17F: 17%Fat; 3C: 3%Carbohydrate; 6C: 6% Carbohydrate; 9C: 9%Carbohydrate.

1-Specific Growth rate (%day<sup>-1</sup>) = (ln final weight – ln initial weight)  $\times$  100/ days

2-Weight Gain (%) = (final weight – initial weight)  $\times$  100/ (initial weight)

3-Protein Efficiency (g) = Final weight – Initial weight / protein intake

**Table. 3 Mean values and standard deviation (Parenthesis) of various body constituents and condition factor of *Labeo rohita* for ten different feeding groups**

Body constituents	Feeding Groups									
	Control	Experiment I			Experiment 2			Experiment 3		
		35P	40P	45P	7F	12F	17F	3C	6C	9C
<b>% Water</b>	82.2 <sup>a</sup> (3.027)	82.43 <sup>ab</sup> (2.199)	83.33 <sup>abc</sup> (2.201)	85.07 <sup>cde</sup> (1.905)	87.30 <sup>ef</sup> (2.468)	87.51 <sup>f</sup> (1.557)	85.70 <sup>df</sup> (1.064)	87.08 <sup>df</sup> (1.560)	87.03 <sup>df</sup> (2.831)	84.82 <sup>bd</sup> (1.630)
<b>% Dry weight</b>	17.767 <sup>f</sup> (3.027)	17.571 <sup>ef</sup> (2.199)	16.674 <sup>d</sup> (2.201)	14.926 <sup>bcd</sup> (1.905)	12.701 <sup>ab</sup> (2.468)	12.488 <sup>a</sup> (1.557)	14.295 <sup>ac</sup> (1.064)	12.922 <sup>ac</sup> (1.560)	12.974 <sup>ac</sup> (2.831)	15.182 <sup>cc</sup> (1.630)
<b>%Ash (wet weight)</b>	3.121 <sup>c</sup> (0.781)	2.013 <sup>ab</sup> (0.315)	2.750 <sup>c</sup> (0.602)	2.120 <sup>b</sup> (0.276)	1.716 <sup>ab</sup> (0.383)	1.679 <sup>ab</sup> (0.223)	1.876 <sup>ab</sup> (0.245)	1.753 <sup>ab</sup> (0.251)	1.614 <sup>a</sup> (0.432)	2.117 <sup>b</sup> (0.336)
<b>% Organic content (wet weight)</b>	17.545 <sup>f</sup> (3.025)	17.413 <sup>ef</sup> (2.225)	16.492 <sup>d</sup> (2.217)	14.780 <sup>bcd</sup> (1.924)	12.588 <sup>ab</sup> (2.493)	12.374 <sup>a</sup> (1.566)	14.155 <sup>ab</sup> (1.068)	12.779 <sup>abc</sup> (1.573)	12.854 <sup>abc</sup> (2.848)	15.067 <sup>cde</sup> (1.644)
<b>% Fat (wet weight)</b>	9.931 <sup>d</sup> (1.980)	8.023 <sup>c</sup> (1.673)	5.245 <sup>ab</sup> (1.533)	4.641 <sup>a</sup> (3.030)	4.290 <sup>a</sup> (1.775)	4.743 <sup>a</sup> (0.891)	4.307 <sup>a</sup> (1.782)	4.766 <sup>a</sup> (1.004)	4.588 <sup>a</sup> (1.421)	7.067 <sup>bc</sup> (1.242)
<b>% Protein (wet weight)</b>	7.614 <sup>a</sup> (1.754)	9.390 <sup>abd</sup> (1.615)	11.246 <sup>d</sup> (1.705)	10.139 <sup>cd</sup> (3.595)	8.298 <sup>abc</sup> (1.660)	7.631 <sup>a</sup> (0.916)	9.848 <sup>bcd</sup> (1.771)	8.013 <sup>ab</sup> (0.915)	8.266 <sup>ac</sup> (1.771)	7.999 <sup>ab</sup> (0.696)

All the values are means  $\pm$  SD, All the vales are verified by homogeneity of variance, Mean sharing the same superscripts do not different significantly (P>0.05)

35P: 35%Protein;40P:40%Protein;45P:45%Protein; 7F: 7%Fat; 12F: 12%Fat; 17F: 17%Fat; 3C: 3%Carbohydrate; 6C: 6%Carbohydrate; 9C: 9%Carbohydrate.

**REFERENCES:**

- Ali, M., F. Iqbal, A. Salam, S. Irum. and M. Athar, (2005) Comparative study of body composition of different fish species from brackish water pond. *Int. J. Environ. Sci. Tec.*, 2 (3): 329-332.
- Ali, M., R. Iqbal, S. A. Rana, M. Athar and F. Iqbal, (2006) Effect of feed cycling on specific growth rate, body composition, condition factor and RNA/DNA ratio of *labeo rohita*. *Afr. J. Biotech.*, 5 (17): 1551-56.
- AOAC, (1995) Official methods of analysis. Association of Official Analytical Chemists, Arlington, USA.
- Bright, L.A., S. D. Coylea and J. H. Tidwel, (2005) Effect of dietary lipid level and protein energy ratio on growth and body composition of largemouth bass *Micropterus sulmoides*. *J. Wor. Aquacul. Soci.*, (36): 129-134.
- Britz, P. J., (1996) Effect of dietary protein level on growth performance of South African abalone, *Haliotis midae*, fed fishmeal-based semi-purified diets. *Aquacul.*, (140): 55-61.
- Coote, T.A., P. W. Hone, R. J. Van Barneveld and G. B. Maguire, 2000. Optimal protein level in a semipurified diet for juvenile greenlip abalone *Haliotis laevigata*. *Aquacul. Nut.*, (6): 213-220.
- Cui, Y and R. J. Wootton, (1988) Effects of ration, temperature and body size on the body composition, energy content and condition of the minnow, *Phoxinus phoxinus* (L.) *J. Fish Biol.*, 32: 749-764.
- Dabrowski, K., (1977) Protein requirements of grass carp fry (*Ctenopharyngodon idella* Val.). *Aquacul.*, (12): 63- 73.
- Du, Z.Y., Y. J. Liu, L. X. Tian, J. T. Wang, Y. Wang and G. Y. Liang, (2005) Effect of dietary lipid level on growth, feed utilization and body composition by juvenile grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*). *Aquacul. Nut.*, (11): 139-146.
- Ellis, S.C., R. C. Reigh, 1991. Effects of dietary lipid and carbohydrate levels on growth and body composition of juvenile red drum, *Aciaenops ocellatus*. *Aquacul.*, 97: 383-394.
- Erfanullah A. K. Jafri, (1995) Protein sparing effect of dietary carbohydrate in diets for fingerling *Labeo rohita*. *Aquacul.*, (136): 331-339.
- Gangadhara, B., M. C. Nandeesh, T. J. Varghese and P. Keshavanath, (1997) Effect of varying protein and lipid levels on the growth of rohu, *Labeo rohita*. *Asi. Fish. Sci.*, (10): 139-147.
- Guillaume, J., (1997) Protein and amino acids. In: Crustacean nutrition. World Aquaculture Society, Louisiana, USA.
- Hidalgo, M.C., A. Sanz, M. G. Gallego, M. D. Suarez and M. Higuera, 1993. Feeding of the European eel, *Anguilla anguilla*. I. Influence of dietary carbohydrate level. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol.*, (105): 165-169.
- Hong, K. H., (1999) Studies on the artificial seedling production and growth of masu salmon. *Oncorhynchus masou*. MSc Thesis, Kangnung National University, Korea.
- Jauncey, K., (1982) The effects of varying dietary protein level on the growth, food conversion, protein utilization and body composition of juvenile tilapias (*Sarotherodon mossambicus*). *Aquacul.*, (27): 43-54.
- Keshavanath, P., K. Manjappa and B. Gangadhara, (2002) Evaluation of carbohydrate rich diets through common carp culture in manured tanks. *Aquacul. Nut.*, (8): 169-174.
- Khan, M. A., I. Ahmad and S. F. Abid, (2004) Effect of ration size on growth, conversion efficiency and body composition of fingerling mrigal, *Cirrhinus mrigala* (Hamilton). *Aquacul. Nut.*, (10): 47-53.
- Khan, M. S., K. J. Ang and M. A. Ambak, (1996) The effect of varying dietary protein level on the growth, food conversion, protein utilization and body composition of tropical catfish *Mystus nemurus* (C. & V.) cultured in static pond water system. *Aquacul. Res.*, (27): 823- 829.
- Khan, M. S., K. J. Ang, K. J., M. A. Ambak and C. R. Saad, (1993) Optimum dietary protein requirement of a Malaysian freshwater catfish, *Mystus nemurus*. *Aquacul.*, (112): 227-235.
- Kim, K. W., X. J. Wang and S. C. Bai, (2001) Reevaluation of the optimum dietary protein level for maximum growth of Juvenile Korean rock fish, *Sebastes schlegeli* (Hilgendorf). *Aquacul. Res.*, (32): 119-125.
- Murai, T., T. Akiyama, T. Watanabe and T. Nose, (1985) Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on performance and carcass composition of fingerling carp. *Bull. Jap. Soci. Fish Sci.*, (54): 605-608.
- NRC, (1993) Nutrient requirements of Fish. National academy of sciences, Washington, USA. Perez, L., H. Gonzalez, M. Jover and J. Fernandez-Carmona, (1997)

- Growth of European sea bass fingerlings *Dicentrarchus labrax* fed extruded diets containing varying levels of protein, lipid and carbohydrate. *Aquacul.*, **(156)**: 183–193.
- Peres, H A. Oliva-Teles, (1999) Influence of temperature on protein utilization in juvenile European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*). *Aquacul.*, **(170)**: 337-348.
- Regost, C., J. Arzel, M. Cardinal, J. Robin, M. Laroche. and S. J. Kaushik, (2001) Dietary lipid level, hepatic lipogenesis and flesh quality in turbot (*Psetta maxima*). *Aquacul.*, **(193)**: 291–309.
- Renukaradhya, K. M and T. J. Varghese, (1986) Protein requirement of the carps, *Catla catla* (Hamilton) and *Labeo rohita* (Hamilton). *Proc. Ind. Acad. Sci.*, **(95)**: 103–107.
- Salam, A and P. M.C. Davies, (1994) Body composition of northern pike, *Esox lucicus* L. in relation to body size and condition factor. *J. Fish Res.*, **(19)**: 293-304.
- Sargent, J., G. Bell, L. McEvoy, D. Tocher and A. Estevez, (1999) Recent development in the essential fatty acid nutrition of fish. *Aquacul.*, **(177)**: 191-199.
- Satpathy, A., D. Mukherjee and A. K. Ray, (2003) Effects of dietary protein and lipid levels on growth, feed conversion and body composition in rohu, *Labeo rohita*(Hamilton). *J. Fish Res.*, **(18)**: 298-307.
- Sen, P. R., N. G. S. Rao, S. R. Ghosh and M. Rout, (1978) Observations on the protein and carbohydrate requirements of carps. *Aquacul.*, **(13)**: 245–255.
- Shiau, S. Y and C. Y. Peng, (1993) Protein sparing effect by carbohydrates in diets for tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus aureus*. *Aquacul.*, **(117)**: 327–334.
- Tacon, A.G. J and C. B. Cowey, (1985) *Fish Energetics – New Perspective*, Croom Helm, London.
- Ufodike, E. B. C and A. J. Matty, 1983. Growth responses and nutrient digestibility in mirror carp (*Cyprinus carpio*) fed different levels of cassava and rice. *Aquacul.*, **(31)**: 41–50.
- Umer, K., F. Iqbal, R. Iqbal, M. Naeem, S. Qadir, M. Latif, R. S. Shaikh and M. Ali, (2011). Effect of Various Nutrient Combinations on Growth and Body Composition of Rohu (*Labeo Rohita*). *Afr. J. Biotech.*, **10 (62)**: 13605-13609.
- Vergara, J. M., H. I. Fernandez-Palacios, L. Robaina, K. Jauncey, M. De La Higuera and M. Izquierdo, (1996) The effects of varying dietary protein level on the growth, feed deficiency, protein utilization and body composition of gilthead sea bream fry. *Fish. Sci.*, **(62)**: 620-623.
- Zhu, Y., Y. Yang, J. Wan, D. Hua and J. A. Mathias, (1990) The effect of manure application rate and frequency upon fish yield in integrated fish farm ponds. *Aquacul.* **(91)**: 233–251.